

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/785,641	02/24/2004	Kenrou Yamamoto	1924.69813	2386	
Patrick G. Burn	7590 04/04/2007 ns. Esa.	EXAMINER			
GREER, BURNS & CRAIN, LTD. Suite 2500 300 South Wacker Dr. Chicago, IL 60606			SHEEHAN, JOHN P		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1742		
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
3 MO	NTHS	04/04/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

			Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary			10/785,641	УАМАМОТО, К	YAMAMOTO, KENROU			
		Γ	Examiner	Art Unit				
			John P. Sheehan	1742				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	nication appe	ars on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence a	address			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE IN nsions of time may be available under the provision: SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this coming operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum so are to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DA s of 37 CFR 1.136 munication. tatutory period will y will, by statute, c	TE OF THIS COMMUN (a). In no event, however, may a apply and will expire SIX (6) MC ause the application to become A	ICATION. I reply be timely filed INTHS from the mailing date of this ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)□	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on						
2a)□			nction is non-final.		,			
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the a	pplication.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 6</u> is/are rejected.							
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Claim(s) 2-5 is/are objected to.							
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restri	ction and/or	election requirement.					
Applicati	ion Papers							
9)[The specification is objected to by the	ne Examiner.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	: a) <u> </u>	oted or b) Objected to	by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	-	•		• •			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected t	o by the Exa	miner. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form I	PTO-152.			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim All b) Some * c) None of:		•	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action			t received.				
			.,					
Attachmen	t(s)							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)			Summary (PTO-413)				
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (I mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	PTO-948)		(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application				
	r No(s)/Mail Date <u>Feb. 24, 2004</u> .		6) Other:	—·				

Art Unit: 1742

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Drawings

Replacement drawings, replacing Figures 1 and 2, were received on August 13,
 These drawings are acceptable.

Claim Interpretation

3. As drafted claim 1 encompasses the embodiment wherein the application of the DC current and performing the heat treatment occur simultaneously.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

5. Claims 1 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Emo et al. (Emo, US Patent No. 7,023,645).

Emo teaches a method comprising applying a electric current to a write head thereby causing the heating and thermal expansion of the write head (column 5, lines 26 to 35). The thermal expansion taught by Emo is considered to be encompassed by the thermal deformation recited in the last line of claim 1. Emo also discloses that the electric current used to heat the head is provided as a DC current (column 7, line 61 to column 8) as recited in applicants' claims. Emo teaches that the head to be treated can be a magneto-resistance head (for example, see column 2, lines 56 to 58 and column 9, lines 21 to 23). Thus, Emo teaches a process comprising applying a DC current to a magneto-resistance head, which heats the head and causes a thermal expansion or thermal deformation of the head. As drafted the claims encompass Emo's process.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 6. Claims 2 to 5 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 7. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: None of the references alone or in combination teach or suggest a method as recited in claim 1 wherein the heat treatment is performed by causing the element portion to collide with the surface of a bump disc.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John P. Sheehan whose telephone number is (571) 272-1249. The examiner can normally be reached on T-F (6:45-4:30) Second Monday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Roy King can be reached on (571) 272-1244. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1742